Report back from the Barcelona DARUP workshop

Data Access & Re-Use Policy – scoping a working group

Rob Baxter
DARUP Workshop: aims & outcomes

- Workshop aim:
  - To understand whether there are a small handful of concrete topics in the access and re-use policy area that could be taken forwards in a working group, over a 12-ish month timescale
  - Topics should be relevant to EUDAT
  - Topics should benefit from the EUDAT consortium’s “weight” behind them
Should we have a DARUP WG?

• Our conclusion: Yes
• Four topics to take forwards:
  – Review compatibility of data availability policies for EUDAT partners
  – Legal issues around licensing of data
  – Credit and citation mechanisms
  – Tools in support of the above
Policies

• What policies, across the national divides, should EUDAT adopt for data deposit & sharing?

• WG benefits
  – Recommendations for common EUDAT policies on deposit and sharing
  – Foundation for other activities

• How best to do this?
  – Review existing EUDAT partners approaches
  – Identify common approach as “unifying principles” for all EUDAT participants

• Starting: now!
Licensing

• EUDAT’s context is complex: distributed, pan-national, cross-disciplinary data infrastructure

• WG benefits
  – Recommendations for EUDAT-wide licensing (if possible!)
  – Aim to assist depositors, and protect service providers!

• How best to do this?
  – Expert advice on emerging data licences (CC 4.0, ODC)
  – Review and categorisation of practices from current communities
  – Help in designing possible EUDAT-wide solutions

• Starting: now!
Credit and citation (and provenance?)

• Getting credit for sharing data is hard: a barrier
• WG benefits:
  – EUDAT can help further the agenda of tracking data downloads and providing credit for providers and funders
• How best to do this?
  – Track work in RDA/CODATA/FORCE 11 citation groups?
  – How to ensure downloaders are authenticated without putting barriers to access?
  – How to track downloads consistently across a distributed environment with multiple copies?
  – And how should the “author list” differ from that of the paper? (and it should!)
• Starting: later
Tools and support

• What tools, services do we need to support the previous? What changes should we make to existing ones?

• WG benefits:
  – Broad knowledge and expertise in existing tools (e.g. DMPonline, federated AA, data tracking)

• How best to do it?
  – Technology watch activity, leading on to ...
  – Design services, software needed to support licensing and credit issues
  – Leverage existing tools, collaborations

• Starting: soon
WG next steps

• Collate report and slides (on EUDAT website soon!)

• Define working group processes, R&R
  – Two co-chairs, 1 EUDAT, 1 not
  – It’s clear the different strands overlap and need to have feedback mechanisms between them
  – Possibly 4 subgroups, staggered starts
  – Maybe each with an Activity Lead?
    • Want to be flexible and lightweight, but still make progress

• Plan ongoing engagement with DARUP experts into final year Sustainability work