



Report back from the Barcelona DARUP workshop

Data Access & Re-Use Policy –
scoping a working group

Rob Baxter





DARUP Workshop: aims & outcomes

- Workshop aim:
 - To understand whether there are a small handful of concrete topics in the access and re-use policy area that could be taken forwards in a working group, over a 12-ish month timescale
 - Topics should be relevant to EUDAT
 - Topics should benefit from the EUDAT consortium's “weight” behind them



Should we have a DARUP WG?

- Our conclusion: *Yes*
- Four topics to take forwards:
 - Review compatibility of data availability policies for EUDAT partners
 - Legal issues around licensing of data
 - Credit and citation mechanisms
 - Tools in support of the above



Policies

- What policies, across the national divides, should EUDAT adopt for data deposit & sharing?
- WG benefits
 - Recommendations for common EUDAT policies on deposit and sharing
 - Foundation for other activities
- How best to do this?
 - Review existing EUDAT partners approaches
 - Identify common approach as “unifying principles” for all EUDAT participants
- Starting: now!



Licensing

- EUDAT's context is complex: *distributed, pan-national, cross-disciplinary* data infrastructure
- WG benefits
 - Recommendations for EUDAT-wide licensing (if possible!)
 - Aim to assist depositors, and protect service providers!
- How best to do this?
 - Expert advice on emerging data licences (CC 4.0, ODC)
 - Review and categorisation of practices from current communities
 - Help in designing possible EUDAT-wide solutions
- Starting: now!



Credit and citation (and provenance?)

- Getting credit for sharing data is hard: a barrier
- WG benefits:
 - EUDAT can help further the agenda of tracking data downloads and providing credit for providers and funders
- How best to do this?
 - Track work in RDA/CODATA/FORCE 11 citation groups?
 - How to ensure downloaders are authenticated without putting barriers to access?
 - How to track downloads consistently across a distributed environment with multiple copies?
 - And how should the “author list” differ from that of the paper? (and it should!)
- Starting: later



Tools and support

- What tools, services do we need to support the previous? What changes should we make to existing ones?
- WG benefits:
 - Broad knowledge and expertise in existing tools (eg. DMPonline, federated AA, data tracking)
- How best to do it?
 - Technology watch activity, leading on to ...
 - Design services, software needed to support licensing and credit issues
 - Leverage existing tools, collaborations
- Starting: soon



WG next steps

- Collate report and slides (on EUDAT website soon!)
- Define working group processes, R&R
 - Two co-chairs, 1 EUDAT, 1 not
 - It's clear the different strands overlap and need to have feedback mechanisms between them
 - Possibly 4 subgroups, staggered starts
 - Maybe each with an Activity Lead?
 - Want to be flexible and lightweight, but still make progress
- Plan ongoing engagement with DARUP experts into final year Sustainability work